


SUCCESS CRITERIA:

- Define the offence
- Use **legal authority**
- **Case law**
- Describe the **legal point** in cases, not just the facts
- Describe relevant **legal tests**
- Only discuss **relevant** areas
- **Apply** the law to the scenario in depth
- Lots of **quotation** from the scenario to back up your application
- Detailed analysis (**LAL**-lot about a little)
- Use appropriate **terminology**
- Write **clearly** and check your work.

HOW TO GIVE GOOD FEEDBACK:

1. Always say what was **good** as well as what could be **improved**.
2. Try to include 2-3 **positives** and the same amount of **areas to improve**.
3. Be **specific**, don't just say vague or general comments like "more detail needed" be specific and identify the areas **where** more detail could be added and say **how** more detail could be added.
4. **Detailed** feedback
5. Explain how **improvements** could be made.



GOOD FEEDBACK:



- *In your causation section you quote key terms from the De Minimis rule.*
- *You use quotation from the scenario to back up your applications consistently throughout.*
- *Case Law to back up all your points.*

EBI

- *You need to explain what the result in cases was and the legal point.*
- *You need to use legal authority to support all your points.*
- *Your application of mens rea could be more in depth*

YOUR TURN:



EBI

